

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/560,356	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PAIGE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ROSE M. MILLER	<b>Art Unit</b> 2856	

**All Participants:**

(1) ROSE M. MILLER.

(2) Ross F. Hunt, Jr.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 March 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112 second rejections*

Claims discussed:

*4, 5, 9, 10, 16*

Prior art documents discussed:

*art of record*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Authorization for Examiner's Amendment placing application in condition for allowance. Examiner's Amendment corrects typographical errors in claims 5 and 9, cancels claim 10 to avoid an objection to the claim for not being found in the drawings and to avoid a 112 rejection for not further limiting the claim from which it depends, and amends claims 3 and 16 to avoid a 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph lack of antecedent basis rejection*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

